

Deborah.Easterling

From: Deborah.Easterling
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 12:20 PM
To: 'catsrule@comporium.net'
Subject: RE: Letter of Protest Tega Cay SC 2012-177-WS

Dear Jack and Patti Sloop,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your email to the Public Service Commission. I am forwarding your email and Letter of Protest to our Clerk's Office for processing. Your email and Letter of Protest will become a part of Docket No. 2012-177-WS and will be posted on our website under this docket.

Please let me know if you should require any additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Administrative Assistant

-----Original Message-----

From: catsrule@comporium.net [<mailto:catsrule@comporium.net>]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 9:45 AM
To: PSC_Contact
Subject: Letter of Protest Tega Cay SC 2012-177-WS
Importance: High

Please find attached "Letter of Protest" regarding rate hike increase for Tega Cay, SC (2012-177-WS



* Required Fields

Letter of Protest
in Docket 2012 - 17 - WS

Print

Email

Date: * September 7, 2012

Protestant Information:

Name * Jack & Patti Sloop

Mailing Address * 1001 Palmyra Drive

City, State Zip * Tega Cay, SC 29708 Phone * 803.547.7893

E-mail catsrule@comporium.net

1. What is your connection or interest in this case? * For example, are you a customer of the Company that is the subject of this pending proceeding? (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

Customers that will be impacted by this increase.

2. Please give a concise statement of your protest. * (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

Two years ago there was an 18% rate hike increase. And now you propose to increase water by another 18.54%; wastewater by 66.90%; overall increase of 43.98%. Like a lot of residents in Tega Cay, we are retired and on fixed incomes. We very strongly oppose the increase and the impact it will have on everyone.

3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding, if scheduled, and offer sworn testimony? *

No...not at this time.